UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,992	06/21/2005	Yvonne Auberlet de Chelle	0608-1024	9707
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 06/11/201 ¹ OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			MITCHELL, JASON D	
	Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/509,992	CHELLE ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason D. Mitchell	2193
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jason D. Mitchell</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Goozner (reg. no. 42,593).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 May 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 2 nd rejection of claims 1-9		
Claims discussed: 1-9		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jason D. Mitchell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The purpose of the interview was to better understand the intended meaning of the claims (particularly claim 1). It was determined that the claims were generally directed to a visual development environment where a user defines a process in terms of a tree structure. However, the applicants' representative indicated that the meaning and interaction of the particular claim terms would require input from his client. As of 6/10/10 this input had not been received..